

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
CENTRAL DIVISION**

JEFFREY BAUMAN,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 11-4183-CV-C-FJG
	)	
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ORDER**

This suit involves two applications made under the Social Security Act. The first is for disability benefits under Title II of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 401 et seq. The second is for supplemental security income ("SSI") benefits under Title XVI of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1381, et seq. . Plaintiff's applications were denied initially. Plaintiff appealed the denials to an administrative law judge. On May 27, 2010, following a hearing the ALJ found that plaintiff was not disabled. Plaintiff requested a review of that decision and on June 6, 2011, the Appeals Council denied plaintiff's request for review. Thus, the decision of the ALJ stands as the final decision of the Commissioner. The facts and arguments are presented in the parties' briefs and will not be repeated here.

The Eighth Circuit recently stated the standard for judicial review of an ALJ's denial of benefits:

Our role on review is to determine whether the Commissioner's findings are supported by substantial evidence on the record as a whole. Substantial evidence is less than a preponderance, but is enough that a reasonable mind would find it adequate to support the Commissioner's conclusion. In determining whether existing evidence is substantial, we consider evidence that detracts from the Commissioner's decision as well as evidence that supports it. As long as substantial evidence in the record supports the Commissioner's decision, we may not reverse it because

substantial evidence exists in the record that would have supported a contrary outcome or because we would have decided the case differently.

Baker v. Barnhart, 457 F.3d 882, 892 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2006), citing, McKinney v. Apfel, 228 F.3d 860, 863 (8th Cir.2000).

The Court has reviewed the parties' briefs, the decision of the ALJ, the transcript of the hearing and the medical and documentary evidence. After this review, the Court finds substantial evidence in the record to support the Commissioner's decision.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that plaintiff's Motion to Reverse the ALJ is hereby **DENIED** (Doc. # 7) and the decision of the Commissioner is hereby **AFFIRMED**.

Date: September 6, 2012  
Kansas City, Missouri

**S/ FERNANDO J. GAITAN, JR.**  
Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr.  
Chief United States District Judge